## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 GENEVA 02008 01 OF 02 171238Z

54

**ACTION EB-07** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AGR-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00

FRB-03 H-02 INR-07 INT-05 L-03 LAB-04 NSAE-00 NSC-05

PA-01 AID-05 CIEP-01 SS-15 STR-04 ITC-01 TRSE-00

USIA-06 PRS-01 SP-02 FEAE-00 OMB-01 AF-06 ARA-06

EA-07 EUR-12 NEA-10 IO-11 /132 W

----- 046369

P R 171200Z MAR 76
FM USMISSION GENEVA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 8772
INFO AMEMBASSY ISLAMABAD
AMEMBASSY KINGSTON
AMEMBASSY LONDON
AMEMBASSY MADRID
AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI
USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 1 OF 2 GENEVA 2008

PASS STR FOR MIKE SMITH

EO 11652: N/A TAGS: GATT, ETRD

SUBJECT: TEXTILES: TSB THIRTY-FOURTH SESSION MAR 2-3

REF: (A) GENEVA 1076, (B) GENEVA 0779, (C) GENEVA 0796

1. SUMMARY: THIRTY-FOURTH SESSION TSB WHICH CONVENED MARCH 2: A) CONSIDERED EC-PAKISTAN ART 4 BILATERAL AGREEMENT AND APPROVED TRANSMITTAL TO TC: B) UNDERTOOK PRELIMINARY DISCUSSION OF EC'S NOTIFICATION OF ART 3:6 UNILATERAL RESTRICTIONS ON UK IMPORTS SPANISH YARN; C) UNDERTOOK PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION JAMAICA'S ART 2:1 AND 2:4 NOTIFICATION; D) HELD EXTENSIVE DISCUSSION ON INTERPRETATION MFA ART 2; E) AND, LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 GENEVA 02008 01 OF 02 171238Z

DEFERRED AGAIN DISCUSSION OF EC-INDIA BILATERAL AND EC'S

## PHASE-OUT PROGRAM FOR INDIA. END SUMMARY.

- 2. CONSIDERATION OF EC-PAK ART 4 BILATERAL WAS CARRY-OVER FROM PREVIOUS SESSION (PARAS 4-7 REF A). BETTER PART OF FIRST DAY WAS SPENT IN REHASH OF PREVIOUS DEBATE ON QUESTION EC'S RIGHT TO "REALLOCATE" QUOTAS AMONG MEMBER STATES. FORTUNATELY, DISCUSSION WAS BOTH TEMPERATE AND ORDERLY AND, WITH SEVERAL LENGTHY "COFFEE BREAKS" FOR GOOD OFFICES EXERCISES BY CHAIRMAN, US AND NORDIC REPS, AGREEMENT WAS REACHED BETWEEN EC AND DEVELOPING COUNTRY REPS ON TEXT TO GO IN REPORT OF SESSION. THUS AGREEMENT PERMITTED CONSENSUS ON CIRCULATION BILATERAL TO TC. REPORT OF SESSION WILL CONTAIN NOTE TO EFFECT "IT UNDERSTANDING OF TSB THAT IF AT ANY TIME EITHER PARTY PROPOSES MODIFICATION OF AGREEMENT, INCLUDING ITS ANNEXES, SUCH PROPOSAL WOULD BE FOLLOWED BY CONSULTATIONS BETWEEN PARTIES--THAT ANY MODIFICATION WOULD BE NOTIFIED TO TSB IN ACCORDANCE PROVISIONS ART 4:4--THAT TSB SHALL CONSIDER WHETHER MODIFIED AGREEMENT IS STILL CONSONANT WITH ARRANGEMENT."
- 3. ON JAN 7 EC SENT NOTIFICATIONS TSB UNDER REF MFA ART 3:6 OF UK'S DEC 75 RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF SPANISH YARN. NOTIFICATION HAD BEEN FIRST PLACED ON AGENDA THIRTY-SECOND SESSION BUT NOT ADDRESSED DUE TIME SPENT ON EC-PAK PHASE-OUT DISPUTE. WAS CARRIED OVER TO THIRTY-THIRD SESSION BUT AGAIN NOT DISCUSSED DUE CHAOTIC SITUATION PREVAILING THAT SESSION (REF A). CHAIRMAN IN BRINGING UP ITEM THIS SESSION INFORMED BODY THAT FORMAL PROTEST EC'S ART 3:6 ACTION HAD BEEN MADE BY SPAIN (SPANISH LETTER WAS CIRCULATED) AND MATTER WOULD HAVE TO BE TREATED AS A DISPUTE AND THAT SPAIN HAD INDICATED DESIRE SEND DEL FROM MADRID TO APPERA BEFORE TSB. EC REP STATED THAT IF THIS THE CASE, EC WOULD ALSO WISH HAVE BRUSSELS REPS PRESENT AND PROPOSED THAT HEARING BE POSTPONED TO NEXT SESSION; SAID PROPOSAL BEING ACCEPTED (SEE GENEVA 1990 FOR BACKGROUND THIS ISSUE). IN CORRIDOR DISCUSSIONS AT EARLIER SESSIONS LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 GENEVA 02008 01 OF 02 171238Z

IT HAD EMERGED THAT ALL REPS (EXCEPT EC REP)
HAD CONCLUDED THAT EC'S ACTION WAS NOT CONSONANT WITH
PROVISIONS OF ART 3:6 BECAUSE: A) EC HAD NEVER AFFORDED
SPAIN OPPORTUNITY CONSULT; AND, B) ACTION WAS
EFFECTIVE FOR 6-MONTH PERIOD RATHER THAN 60-DAY PERIOD
FORESEEN IN ART 3:6; THIS WITHOUT ANY REGARD TO
QUESTION OF JUSTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS IN ART 3:5.

4. JAMAICAN NOTIFICATION UNDER ART 2:1 WAS CONSIDERED AND IT WAS AGREED TO CIRCULATE NOTIFICATION TO TC. TSB, HOWEVER, NOTED THAT JAMAICA'S JUSTIFICATION FOR ITS RESTRICTIONS WOULD BE FURTHER CONSIDERED AT A LATER MEETING.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 GENEVA 02008 02 OF 02 171249Z

54

ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 ARA-06 EUR-12 NEA-10 IO-11 ISO-00 AGR-05

CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 FRB-03 H-02 INR-07

INT-05 L-03 LAB-04 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 AID-05

CIEP-01 SS-15 STR-04 ITC-01 TRSE-00 USIA-06 PRS-01

SP-02 FEAE-00 OMB-01 EA-07 AF-06 /132 W ------ 046476

PR 171205Z MAR 76

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 8773

INFO AMEMBASSY ISLAMAD

AMEMBASSY KINGSTON

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MADRID

AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 2 OF 2 GENEVA 2008

5. QUSTION OF PROPER INTERPRETATION ART 2 FIRST AROSE AT TIME TSB WAS CONSIDERING PAK COMPLAINT ON EC PHASE-OUT PROGRAM. IT WILL BE RECALLED (REFS B AND C) THAT THE APPLICABILITY OF THE ONE-YEAR REXTENTION PERIOD PROVIDED IN ART 2:2(II) WAS AND IS A CENTRAL ISSUE IN THE EC-PAK DISPUTE. THE TSB IN DRAFTING ITS ORIGINAL RECOMMENDATION (REF C) TOOK THE POSITION THAT, SINCE EC'S PHASE-OUT PROGRAM INVOLVED ITEMS THAT HAD BEEN SUBJECT PRIOR BILATERAL AGREEMENTS AND HAD BEEN SO NOTIFIED TO TSB BY EC UNDER PROVISIONS ART 2:1 THE

RESTRICTIONS SHOULD HAVE BEEN DEALTH WITH UNDER ART 2/3 RATHER THAN ART 2/2. AT THE TIME THIS LANGUAGE WAS BEING DRAFTED, US REP NOTED THAT US, NORDICS, CANADA, AUSTRIA AND EC ITSELF HAD REQUIRED AND REQUESTED ONE-YEAR EXTENSIONS TO COMPLETE NEGOTIATIONS BRINGING LIMITED OFFICIAL USE.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 GENEVA 02008 02 OF 02 171249Z

BILATERAL AGREEMENTS NOTIFIED UNDER ART 2/1 INTO CONFORMITY WITH ARRANGEMENT. US REP FURTHER NOTED THAT ALL REQUESTS FOR TIME EXTENSIONS HAD BEEN MADE UNDER PROVISIONS ART 2:2(II) AND HAD BEEN AUTHRORIZED BY TSB UNDER SAME ART 2:2 (II). US REP, WHILE AGREEING THAT ART 2:3 CLEARLY DEALS WITH TREATMENT OF BILATERAL AGREEMENTS NOTIFIED UNDER ART 2/1, NOTED THAT ART 2/3 MAKES NO PROVISIONS FOR A TIME EXTENSION. US REP FURTHER NOTED THAT TSB HAD, ON THE RECORD, GRANTED SUCH EXTENSIONS, BUT UNDER ART 2:2(II). IF TSB WAS TO NOW TAKE OVERT POSITION THAT ALL BILATERALS NOTIFIED UNDER ART 2/1 SHOULD HAVE BEEN TREATED UNDER PROVISIONS ART 2:3, QUESTION OF LEGALITY OF ALL BILATERALS NEGOTIA-TED IN PERIOD APR 1, 1975-MAR 31, 1976 COULD ARISE. US REP PRIVATELY INFORMED COLLEAGUES THAT SUCH A SITU-ATION COULD PRESENT SOME LEGAL DIFFICULTIES FOR US SINCE USG AUTHORITY NEGOTIATE BILATERALS STEMMMED FROM SEC 204 WHICH REQUIRES SUCH BILATERALS TO BE NEGOTIATED WITHIN THE PROVISIONS OF AN INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT. US REP ASKED THAT. IN FORMULATING TEXT OF FINDINGS IN EC-PAK CASE, A PARAGRAPH BE INSERTED WHICH COULD RE-FLECT FACT THAT TSB HAD DECIDED THAT EXTENTIONS OF ONE YEAR FOR COMPLETION RENEGOTIATIONS OF BILATERALS WERE PROPER, WERE IMPLICIT IN PROVISIONS OF ART 2:4 AND WERE ANALOGOUS TO THE PROVISIONS IN ART 2/2 (II). EC REP ARGUED THAT INSERTION SUCH PARA IN TEXT OF TSB'S EC-PAK DISPUTE FINDING WOUOLD BE OUT OF PLACE BUT AGREED THAT SUCH PARA "BE PLACED IN MINUTES OF NEXT TSB SESSION" UNDER RERERENCE TO A "GENERAL DIS-CUSSION OF ART 2." US AND OTHER TSB REPS THEN AGREED THIS PROCEDURE. SECRETARIAT THEN DRAFTED SOME LANGUAGE WHICH WAS ACCEPTABLE TO ALL PRESENT. HOWEVER, WHEN THIS LANGUAGE WAS PRESENTED AT THIS SESSION, EC REP REFUSED TO ACCEPT ON GROUNDS IT APPEARED TO IMPAIR EC'S INTERPRETATION OF ART 2/2(II) WHICH IS: THAT ART 2:2(II) PROVIDES LEGAL BASIS FOR A ONE-YEAR TIME EXTENSION TO INITIATE PHAS-OUTS OF UNILATERAL RESTRICTIONS. THEREFORE IT NEEDED FURTHER STUDY. THIS STATEMENT, AS MIGHT BE EXPECTED, BROUGHT IMMEDIATE REACTION FROM ALL DEVELOPING COUNTRY REPS WHO, SMELLING A RATE, ALSO URGED FURTHER STUDY. EC REP PRIVATELY INFORMED US REP THAT LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

## LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 GENEVA 02008 02 OF 02 171249Z

BACK-DOWN ON HIS EARLIER COMMITMENT HAD BEEN FORCED BY MEYNELL WHO "BELIEVED CLARIFYING STATEMENT ASKED BY US REP WAS NOT AS NECESSARY AS US REP INSITED AND THAT MEYNELL WOULD STRAIGHTEN THIS OUT IN WASHINGTON". US REP INFORMED EC REP THAT HE WOULD AGREE ONLY TO DEFERAL UNTIL NEXT SESSION (MAR 9-11). FURTHER INFORMED EC REP THAT IF HE HAD NOT RECEIVED INSTRUCTION TO ACCOMODATE US NEED BY THAT TIME, IT WAS US REP'S INTENTIO TO FORCE A FULL DISCUSSION OF ART 2, PARTICULARLY ART 2:2(II) WHICH WOULD DO A GREAT DEAL MORE THAN "APPEARING TO IMPAIR" EC'S JUSTIFICATION OF PHASE-OUT. EC REP GOT THEM MESSAGE AS HE DID AGREE ON TEXT ACCEPTABLE TO US REP AT MAR 9-11 SESSION (BEING REPORTED SEPTEL).

6. IN VIEW OF FACT THAT EC AND INDIA HAVE SCHEDULED BILATERAL CONSULTATIONS ON EC-INDIA PHASE-OUT PROGRAM FOR MAR 22, AND, WITH CONCURRENCE LOCAL INDIAN REP, TSB POSTPONED DISCUSSION BOTH EC-INDIA ART 4 BILATERAL AND RELAED PHASE-OUT PROGRAM UNTIL AFTER CONSULTATIONS COMPLETED. DALE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: TEXTILES, MEETING REPORTS, IMPORT CONTROLS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 17 MAR 1976 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ShawDG
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976GENEVA02008

Document Number: 1976GENEVA02008
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A

Film Number: D760100-0946

From: GENEVA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760353/aaaabubt.tel Line Count: 248 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EB

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 5

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 76 GENEVA 1076, 76 GENEVA 779, 76 GENEVA 796
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: ShawDG

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 05 APR 2004

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <05 APR 2004 by CollinP0>; APPROVED <28 JUL 2004 by ShawDG>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: TEXTILES: TSB THIRTY-FOURTH SESSION MAR 2-3 TAGS: ETRD, GATT, TSB
To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006